## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

# BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY OR!GINAL

In the Matter of: CITY OF BOSTON and SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION, Relating to Rebanding Issues in the 800 MHz Band

PS Docket No. 07-69

Jun 20 9 35 AH '07

DATE OF HEARING:_	May 30, 2007 \	VOLUME:1_	***
	·		•
DE ACE OF HEADING.	WASTENCTON D.C.	DACES.	1_15

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 TELEPHONE (202) 234-4433

ORIGINAL

י פס סארים מסף

# BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

PREHEARING CONFERENCE

+ + + + +

IN THE MATTER OF:

CITY OF BOSTON

and

SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION | TAM-11155

Relating to Rebanding
Issues in the 800 MHz Band

PS Docket No.

Mediation No.

Wednesday, May 30, 2007

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

The hearing was convened, pursuant to

notice, at 9:30 a.m.

BEFORE:

RICHARD L. SIPPEL Chief Administrative Law Judge

#### APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the City of Boston:

ROBERT H. SCHWANINGER, JR., ESQ.
Of: Schwaninger & Associates, P.C.
1331 H Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 347-8580

On Behalf of Sprint Nextel:

HOWARD M. LIBERMAN, ESQ.
PATRICK R. MCFADDEN, ESQ.
LAURA H. PHILLIPS, ESQ.
Of: Drinker, Biddle & Reath, LLP
1500 K Street N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20005-1209
(202) 842-8465

#### ALSO PRESENT:

GARY SCHONMAN, ESQ.
Counsel for Chief Enforcement Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW
Suite 4C-237
Washington, DC 20554
(202) 418-1420

## P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

)	
2	(9:34 a.m.)
3	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: First prehearing
4	conference, this case of Boston versus Nextel Sprint,
5	or Sprint Nextel Corporation. Let me first take the
6	notices. Just identify yourself for the records,
7	please, starting with Bureau counsel.
8	MR. SCHONMAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
9	Gary Schonman on behalf of the Chief Enforcement
10	Bureau.
11	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: And on behalf of
12	Boston, the City of Boston.
13	MR. SCHWANINGER: Good morning. Robert
14	Schwaninger, of Schwaninger & Associates, on behalf of
15	Boston.
16	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Good morning, Mr.
17	Schwaninger. And on behalf of Sprint Nextel?
18	MR. LIBERMAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
19	Howard Liberman.
20	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Liberman.
21	MR. LIBERMAN: Hello, and with me are
22	Laura Phillips and Patrick McFadden, for Sprint Nextel

1	Corporation.
2	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Ms. Phillips, and
3	your name, sir, is?
4	MR. MCFADDEN: Patrick McFadden.
5	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Patrick McFadden.
6	Okay.
7	Now, where to start? First of all, let me
8	acknowledge that there is a pending motion to delete
9	and combine issues. And the pleading cycle authorizes
10	a reply pleading. Do you intend to file a reply, Mr.
11	Schwaninger?
12	MR. SCHWANINGER: I will yes, I will
12	MR. SCHWANINGER: I will yes, I will file a reply.
13	file a reply.
13 14	file a reply.  ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Can you tell
13 14 15	file a reply.  ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Can you tell  me when?
13 14 15 16	file a reply.  ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Can you tell  me when?  MR. SCHWANINGER: How about by the end of
13 14 15 16	file a reply.  ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Can you tell  me when?  MR. SCHWANINGER: How about by the end of  the day?
13 14 15 16 17	file a reply.  ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Can you tell  me when?  MR. SCHWANINGER: How about by the end of  the day?  ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's fine.
13 14 15 16 17 18	file a reply.  ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Can you tell  me when?  MR. SCHWANINGER: How about by the end of  the day?  ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's fine.  I don't want to push you. That's fine. Let's just

1 | that type of thing?

MR. SCHWANINGER: Yes, Your Honor.

address, but, Ms. Gossey and I want to be -receive emails as well as the faxes of what you're
filing and exchanging. It is very helpful. The
reason for the -- primary reason for the faxes is that
I don't, I do not always look at my emails, and, but
the fax is, it never gets missed. So I want to be
sure I have that hard copy coming in.

Okay, there's a request to eliminate Issue C, which is kind of a -- well, obviously the parties, having read the motion, Mr. Schwaninger's motion, and the Sprint Nextel response, or opposition, there is agreement that the Issue C, which would be to, I'm paraphrasing now, but was basically to determine appropriate contractual language, that that be eliminated from the case because it's already been decided; it's moot. Is that a fair characterization?

MR. LIBERMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. MCFADDEN: Yes, Your Honor.

ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Does the Bureau have

1	any objection to that?
2	MR. SCHONMAN: No, sir.
3	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Then it's okay,
4	but that issue, then, is gone. I'll issue an
5	appropriate order. I'm not going to promise it today,
6	Mr. Schwaninger, but it'll come out forthwith.
7	Now, my next order of business here is the
8	status of what I would characterize as cooperative
9	discovery. Has there been any preliminary discussions
10	between counsel on this, and who would like to address
11	that first?
12	MR. SCHWANINGER: You took the lead in the
13	meeting, go right ahead.
14	MR. LIBERMAN: Thank you, Your Honor
15	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Liberman?
16	MR. LIBERMAN: first, pursuant to your
17	order, we did have a meeting, and we came up with a
18	number of tentative dates, subject to Your Honor, of
19	course. The first we agreed to is September 7 would
20	be the date for completing discovery. I believe we
21	meant that to be completing initiation of all

discovery. There might be responses trailing in after

that, but --1 Okay. ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: 2 MR. LIBERMAN: -- no discovery would be 3 initiated after September 7. 4 5 ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Without leave, unless there's, obviously, if something comes 6 up and you need more time, I'll get a motion. 7 MR. LIBERMAN: We'll request leave, 8 exactly. And that by October 5, or maybe on October 9 5, would be a tentative date for exchanges of witness 10 lists and written cases-in-chief, written direct 11 12 cases. ADMIN, JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. 13 MR. LIBERMAN: October 5, again, subject 14 And responses to that to Your Honor's agreement. 15 would be due by October 19. I think that would be 16 rebuttal witnesses and rebuttal testimony by October 17 18 19. And then, I believe we all agreed that the 19 hearing could take place any time after October 29, 20 and that we believe two days would be sufficient for 21 the hearing. At this point, we believe that.

1	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well,
2	that's very that's certainly short and succinct.
3	Two-day hearing any time what is the date? After
4	any
5	MR. LIBERMAN: Any time after October 29.
6	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: 10/29, post 10/29,
7	two-day hearing.
8	MR. LIBERMAN: Right. October 29 is a
9	Monday, so any time after the 29 <sup>th</sup> .
10	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well,
11	that should be we should be able to accommodate
12	that. Did I not bring my calendar? It's the most
13	important document I have, and I've got to bring it.
14	Let's see, this tells me that you I don't know how
15	long this took, but you certainly have been busy.
16	October 29, okay, any time after that. I
17	have tickets to Don Giovanni, but I don't think
18	anybody's interested in that, on the 29 <sup>th</sup> . Let's see
19	
20	MR. LIBERMAN: Perhaps on the next morning
21	you'll be in a good mood for a hearing.
22	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: That's right.

J )	That's true. I don't know, did you want to go that
2	fast? I'm trying to see if there's any tricky
3	holidays or dates.
4	MS. GOSSEY: The 12th is a holiday, of
5	November.
6	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: 12th of November?
7	This is Ms. Gossey, by the way. Yes, I see it on
8	Sunday, with Veterans, but that's probably observed on
9	Monday, the 12 <sup>th</sup> .
10	MS. GOSSEY: The Government does, yes.
11	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Election Day
12	is the 6 <sup>th</sup> , which is no big deal, I don't think. I
13	mean, it is a big deal, I'm sorry, but, I mean, I
14	didn't mean to say it that way, but, I mean, it
15	shouldn't interfere with our schedule here. It's a
16	toss-up.
17	MR. SCHWANINGER: I do have some Election
18	Day duties.
19	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. We won't
20	do that, then. Why don't we do it on the gee, I
21	could can you start it on the would you really
22	be prepared to go on the 30 <sup>th</sup> ? I mean, I don't mean

J /	to push it that tight.
2	MR. LIBERMAN: I think so.
3	MR. SCHWANINGER: Yes.
4	MR. LIBERMAN: October 30 <sup>th</sup> would be fine
5	with us.
6	MR. SCHWANINGER: It's fine.
7	MR. LIBERMAN: I believe. The 31 <sup>st</sup> is
8	Halloween, but that shouldn't be a factor.
9	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: No, we'll
10	MR. SCHWANINGER: I haven't dressed up in
11	years.
12	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, we'll break an
13	hour early if we have to for Halloween purposes. We
14	may have some young children that they need to walk
15	around with. Start, okay, anyway, so start hearing at
16	9:30 a.m. on the 30 <sup>th</sup> of August.
17	MR. LIBERMAN: October.
18	MS. GOSSEY: October.
19	
	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry, the 30 <sup>th</sup>
20	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry, the 30 <sup>th</sup> of October, yes, in this courtroom. And then we'll
20	

1	strive to meet the two-day estimate. And that will be
2	it.
3	Now, I did make a comment in my prehearing
4	conference order, this is FCC 07M-13, footnote 3 talks
5	about
6	MS. GOSSEY: I don't think it's 13.
7	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Isn't it? FCC 07M
8	
9	MS. GOSSEY: 69.
10	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: No, that's not it.
11	I'm talking about the prehearing conference order.
12	MS. GOSSEY: Oh, okay.
13	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Anyway, I was
14	interested in getting as much stipulation work done as
15	possible. I mean, there's been quite a massive
16	record, or certainly a very complete record, of these
17	issues that has come up the chain through negotiation
18	and mediation, etc., and then a decision of the
19	Bureau. Have you given any thought to that?
20	MR. SCHWANINGER: Yes.
21	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: You're not against
22	it, Mr. Schwaninger?

MR. SCHWANINGER: I've given thought to 1 We haven't begun a discussion regarding it. 2 it. MR. LIBERMAN: I think the answer to that 3 question will become more clear after some discovery. 4 5 I think both sides -- we also discussed discovery at our meeting, and I think both sides are going to 6 engage in some discovery, perhaps a few depositions 7 8 and interrogatories within the limitations that Your And perhaps after we Honor has set forth. 9 responses and hear deposition testimony, we can try 10 for some stipulations. 11 ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, well, that's 12 fine. That's fair. And you can start your discovery, 13 course, today, as far as giving notice 14 I shouldn't have -- I mean, unless there's concerned. 15 a motion to compel or something, I shouldn't have to 16 get involved in that at all. 17 Let me think. Do keep me apprised, I 18 mean, if you're sending out notices to depose, by all 19 means serve me with -- I'm sure you would, anyway, but 20 give me an idea in terms of what's going on time-wise 21 and volume-wise. 22

The only -- I just want to just give my, what is logistically what I'm getting, what gives me the concern is with the documents, two questions on the documents.

that you would anticipate would come into the record, or you would offer into evidence. And my thinking with the stipulation and with the -- there's lots of ways of doing this, but what I'm trying to do is that I'm trying to minimize documents to the greatest extent possible, without, obviously, undercutting your right to a hearing.

And the second concern I have is with respect to confidentiality, and which, I'll tell you right up front that my long-held philosophy is that this is a public hearing, and there should be a public record that describes what went on at the hearing.

Now, as far as your discovery is concerned, I mean, that's confessional, I mean, that's private all the way, far as I'm concerned, anyway. So whatever you agree to on that is no problem, but I'd like to be -- I'd like to ask you to give some thought

1 that. the two points being least number 2 documents, the smallest record possible, in other 3 words, and also confidentiality. 4 Okay, the normal way is that there's an 5 agreed to and stipulated order, consent order for confidential treatment, but there's 6 lot 7 boilerplate that goes into those that I'd really like 8 you to, if that's what it comes down to, that you 9 really tone it down as much as you can and look for 10 things that really are not going to be a problem as far as business practices or something like that, 11 12 because we don't want to give your competitors an advantage, but, like I say, I want to keep it a public 13 14 record. 15 Okay, that's all I have. Now, is there anything else anybody else has? 16 17 MR. SCHWANINGER: Not at this time. ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Schonman? 18 19 MR. SCHONMAN: No, sir. ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. 20 It's five to ten by that clock, and we are in recess until the 30th 21 22 of October, unless we have to -- at my call otherwise.

1	Yes, Mr. Liberman?
2	MR. LIBERMAN: Are you going to issue an
3	order with the dates?
4	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I will.
5	MR. LIBERMAN: Okay.
6	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I will. I think I
7	can yes, I will. I certainly will. Certainly
8	will. And also an order with respect to Issue C. And
9	then I'm waiting for the reply, and I'll take a hard
10	look at that, get you a decision on that as soon as I
11	can. Okay?
12	Thank you very much. We're in recess
13	until 30 October unless otherwise called. Thank you.
14	(Whereupon, the prehearing conference was
15	concluded at 9:47 a.m.)
16	
17	
18	
18	
18	

### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

CITY OF BOSTON AND SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION

Name of Hearing

PS DOCKET NO. 07-69

Docket No. (if applicable)

445 12th STREET, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C.

Place of Hearing

MAY 30, 2007

Date of Hearing

We, the undersigned, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 15, inclusive, are the true, accurate and complete transcript prepared from the reporting by

Pierre Smith (Reporter's Name) in attendance at the above identified hearing, in accordance with applicable provisions of the current Federal Communications Commission's professional verbatim reporting and transcription statement of Work and have verified the accuracy of the accuracy of the transcript by (1) comparing the typewritten transcript against the reporting or recording accomplished at the hearings and (2) comparing the final proofed typewritten transcript against the reporting or recording accomplished at the hearing or conference.

June 15, 2007	Pierre Smith / uni
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Reporter
	Name of Company:Neal Gross Co
June 15, 2007	Barbara Graham
	Maybara Terelin
Date	Legible Name and Kignature of Transcriber
	Name of Company:Neal Gross Co
June 15, 2007	Mallory Trimyer (Nallen M
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Proofreader
	Name of Company: Neal Gross Co.